

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/606,983 06/26/2003 Kurt Vetter 60,126-219 4949 27305 7590 **EXAMINER** 02/08/2005 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. HOGAN, JAMES SEAN THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151 3752

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/606,983	VETTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James S Hogan	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) decomposed of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuted and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	reply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 26 June 2003.	
	☐ This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction Application Papers	withdrawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer	
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 2 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	a/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanded correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Apolitical the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
. Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 	ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/606,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 239, subclass 380.
 - II. Claims 15-19, drawn to assembly, classified in class 401 subclass 34.
 - III. Claims 20-23, drawn to method, classified in class 239, subclass 7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus is independent in its designated use, for example, the bell cup (22) could be utilized as a hand-held device, not needing a dedicated zone for its use. The subcombination has separate utility such as it does not require the apparatus in order for its layout to be successful in use, for example, the layout of the zone could easily be utilized for vehicular cleaning, with water spray nozzles substituting for rotary atomizers.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method claims to not specifically tie their use to the apparatus as disclosed

Page 3

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the spray zone as claimed would not have influence on how the paint is atomized, and its color adjusted, at a specific droplet size onto a vehicle.
 - 5. A telephone call was made to Attny. Raymond E. Scott (Reg. No. 22981) on February 2, 2005to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSH 02/03/2005

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700